



GODS, GENES, AND GLOBAL COLLAPSE: POSTMODERN APOCALYPSE IN MARGARET ATWOOD'S ORYX AND CRAKE

I. Mohammed Nazar* & A. Abdul Hakeem**

* Ph.D Research Scholar, Department of English, Jamal Mohamed College (Autonomous), Affiliated to Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirappalli, Tamil Nadu, India & Assistant Professor of English, Khadir Mohideen College, Affiliated to Bharathidasan University, Adirampattinam, Tamil Nadu, India

** Research Advisor & Associate Professor, Department of English, Jamal Mohamed College (Autonomous), Affiliated to Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirappalli, Tamil Nadu, India

Cite This Article: I. Mohammed Nazar & A. Abdul Hakeem, "Gods, Genes, and Global Collapse: Postmodern Apocalypse in Margaret Atwood's Oryx and Crake", *International Journal of Interdisciplinary Research in Arts and Humanities*, Volume 10, Issue 2, July - December, Page Number 112-115, 2025.

Copy Right: © DV Publication, 2025 (All Rights Reserved). This is an Open Access Article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium provided the original work is properly cited.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17194043>

Abstract:

This paper explores Margaret Atwood's *Oryx and Crake* through a postmodern lens, interrogating the novel's treatment of apocalypse, identity, and narrative structure. It argues that Atwood rewrites the apocalyptic tradition not as divine judgment but as a man-made catastrophe. Drawing on postmodern theorists such as Jean-François Lyotard, Fredric Jameson, and Jean Baudrillard, the study examines how the novel deconstructs grand narratives, destabilizes identity, and replaces moral certainty with ethical ambiguity. The paper also analyzes the commodification of life, the manipulation of memory, and the simulation of truth within a world governed by corporate power and consumer ideology. Through its non-linear narrative, metafictional strategies, and symbolic use of characters like Crake and Oryx, the novel foregrounds the ethical crisis. Ultimately, *Oryx and Crake* emerges as a postmodern apocalypse a meditation on survival, myth, and the human in an age of technological excess and moral collapse.

Key Words: Apocalypse, Postmodern, Memory, Simulacra and Simulation.

1. Introduction:

Margaret Atwood's *Oryx and Crake* traces the fall of civilization through the eyes of a man named Snowman, formerly Jimmy, who wanders through the ruins of a genetically altered world after an engineered pandemic annihilates most of humankind. *Oryx and Crake* is not merely a tale of technological hubris or ecological collapse; it is a meditation on the postmodern condition, a condition marked by the breakdown of meaning.

Atwood deconstructs traditional binaries such as human/animal, nature/culture, science/religion, and truth/fiction. Atwood's narrative aligns with Lyotard's notion of meta-narratives as the novel systematically dismantles grand explanatory systems be they religious, scientific, or moral. The future world it presents is not the outcome of a divine apocalypse but a man-made catastrophe, birthed in corporate laboratories and incubated in consumer markets. In place of religious salvation, we find hyper-rational techno science, and in place of history, we encounter fragments of memory, advertisement slogans, and discarded cultural debris.

At the heart of this narrative is Snowman, a character who functions as a postmodern subject par excellence fragmented, unreliable, nostalgic, and burdened by the collapse of meaning. His recollections are incomplete and often contradictory, echoing the poststructuralist view of language and memory as unstable signifiers. As he reflects on his relationships with Crake, the bioengineer-genius responsible for the apocalypse, and Oryx, the enigmatic figure of commodified femininity and global exploitation, Snowman becomes a symbol of ethical ambiguity and epistemic disorientation. His survival is not heroic but hollow; his role as a witness to the end of the world is tinged with complicity and confusion.

The novel resists linearity and closure. It begins in the aftermath and moves backward through layers of memory, flashbacks, and fragments of language. This non-linear, recursive structure marked by pastiche, parody, and metafictional self-awareness aligns Atwood's novel with the formal aesthetics of postmodernism, as defined by Fredric Jameson. The collapse of historical depth is replaced by surfaces and simulacra; the meaning of events is perpetually deferred; and language itself becomes an unreliable map of a territory that no longer exists. *Oryx and Crake* is best understood as a postmodern apocalypse not an end in the religious or mythic sense. "the notion of apocalypse has shifted from being an integral part of divinely regulated temporality to a secular phenomenon of catastrophe" (*A Journey at the End of Times : A Postmodern Reading of Cormac MacCarthy's The Road*, p.116) It is not just the world that ends, but meaning, certainty, and identity. By fusing apocalypse with philosophical skepticism, Atwood invites us to consider what remains after the collapse of grand narratives and whether anything like ethics, responsibility, or love can survive in the ruins.

2. Postmodernism and the End of Grand Narratives:

The postmodern condition, as theorized by Jean-François Lyotard, is defined by a growing "incredulity toward metanarratives." (The Postmodern Condition, p. xxiv) Religion, Enlightenment rationality, progress, Marxism, and even science once claimed to offer universal truths and stable foundations for human meaning. But in the postmodern age, such claims are regarded with suspicion. Truth becomes fragmented, relative, and deeply embedded in structures of power. Margaret Atwood's *Oryx and Crake* embodies this skepticism through its portrayal of a world where traditional explanatory systems have been either dismantled or absorbed into corporate logic.

Lyotard writes, "Science has always been in conflict with narratives... by making them appear as fables, myths, legends" (The Postmodern Condition, p 27). In *Oryx and Crake*, however, science itself becomes the new myth, one that is no less dangerous in its absoluteness. Crake, the bioengineer who creates the Crakers and unleashes the global pandemic, embodies the logical extremity of instrumental reason. He views emotion as a weakness, ethics as obsolete, and human history as a problem to

be solved through genetic design. "The human flaw," Crake tells Jimmy, "was that they were just too... excitable." (Oryx and Crake, p. 319) His solution is not social reform, but species replacement a biological reengineering of Homo sapiens into docile, peaceful, herbivorous beings who lack sexual jealousy, religious awe, or abstract ambition. This desire to transcend humanity itself reflects a postmodern anxiety about the failure of Enlightenment ideals. Reason, once thought to be the path to utopia, has led instead to ecological collapse, social fragmentation, and techno-authoritarianism.

The institutions in Atwood's world are not democratically accountable bodies guided by humanist ideals, but hyper-capitalist entities that operate with impunity. Science, instead of liberating the human subject, commodifies and deconstructs it. In a world where everything is subject to market logic from organs and diseases to emotions and memory Oryx and Crake offers a chilling vision of commodification without limits. The corporate compounds that dominate the novel are physically and ideologically isolated from the "pleeblands," zones of chaos, poverty, and violence. The divide is not merely geographical but epistemological: those inside the compounds live in a world of surveillance, consumer excess, and sanitized ideology. As Jameson notes "The most arresting structural feature of postmodernism is thus the disappearance of a sense of history, the way in which our entire contemporary social system has little by little begun to lose its capacity to retain its own past." (Postmodernism, or, the Cultural Logic of Late Capitalism, p. 18) Even education is controlled by the corporations that employ them. The university where Jimmy and Crake study, the Watson-Crick Institute, exists solely to serve biotech interests a far cry from any traditional notion of critical inquiry or liberal education.

The novel's postmodern structure itself underscores these themes. The narrative is non-linear, constantly moving back and forth in time, filtered through Snowman's deteriorating memory. This formal fragmentation mirrors the collapse of any coherent or linear historical narrative. The protagonist is not a reliable guide through this world, but a survivor whose recollections are unstable and often contradictory. His language is filled with disjointed memories, commercial jingles, fragments of childhood speech, and invented myths for the Crakers. As Baudrillard argues in *Simulacra and Simulation*, the postmodern subject no longer distinguishes between reality and representation; he is immersed in simulacra signs that refer only to other signs. Snowman's struggle distinguish between past and present, truth and fiction, self and other, reflects this loss of referential stability. "We live in a world where there is more and more information, and less and less meaning." (*Simulacra and Simulation*, p. 79) Snowman's made-up stories for the Crakers about Crake as a god and Oryx as a mother-goddess are themselves an ironic inversion of religious narrative. Having seen the end of the world, he becomes the reluctant creator of a new myth, albeit one he himself does not believe in. These invented fables mirror the way that postmodernism treats truth as a construct, a provisional fiction created to fill the void left by the collapse of certainty. Snowman tells these stories not because he believes in them, but because the Crakers need meaning. "That's not the way it happened," he often reminds himself, but says it anyway. In this way, he is both myth-maker and skeptic, storyteller "articulating a reality where the real has been suspended by models" (*Cyberspace and Simulacra: Exploring Hyperreality, Identity, and the Postmodern Condition* in William Gibson's *Neuromancer*, p. 86) and cynic a fitting figure for the postmodern subject.

3. Narrative Fragmentation and Unreliable Memory:

The narrative structure of *Oryx and Crake* is deliberately fractured, a mirror of the protagonist's inner disintegration and the broader dislocation of meaning in the post-apocalyptic world he inhabits. Atwood denies the reader any stable temporal anchor; instead, the story unfolds through a series of disjointed memories, hallucinations, flashbacks, and fragments of remembered conversations, all filtered through Snowman's unreliable consciousness. This formal fragmentation is not merely a stylistic choice; it is a postmodern strategy that reflects the impossibility of constructing a coherent self or history in a world where truth is no longer fixed, but continually deferred.

Snowman, the only human survivor in what appears to be a biologically sterilized world is both narrator and character, but his authority is constantly undermined. He often admits the failures of his memory, the gaps in his recollection, and the possibility that what he remembers never happened at all. In one moment, Snowman reflects: "He has no name, he has many names. He is Everyman, and he is No-man." This oscillation between identity and erasure reveals a deep postmodern concern with the unstable subject, one whose sense of self cannot be recovered through traditional narrative coherence.

The narrative is built on what post structuralism identifies as the slippage of meaning. Language, in this framework, does not transparently convey truth; rather, it refers endlessly to other signs, never quite arriving at fixed meaning. Snowman's language is filled with fragments from advertisements, songs, Latin phrases, childhood rhymes, and corporate slogans a collage of linguistic detritus. His thoughts blur the boundaries between memory and fantasy, grief and desire, mourning and delusion. He recalls watching Oryx on a pornographic website as a child, later meeting her as an adult, and then constructing an entire mythology around her image. Yet even this sequence remains ambiguous. Did she love him? Did she exist as he remembers? Or is she, like everything else in his world, a projection layered with loss and longing?

This intermingling of voices and timeframes aligns with Linda Hutcheon's concept of historiographic metafiction, where the boundary between history and fiction is constantly interrogated. Snowman's account is never confirmed by an objective narrator or external authority. The past he reconstructs is always mediated through trauma and isolation. He tells stories to the Crakers, but also to himself and he knows these stories are false. "I made it up," he admits, "I didn't tell them everything. I couldn't." His withholding of the "truth" is not a lie in the traditional sense, but a coping mechanism in a world where the truth itself may be unbearable or unknowable.

The Crakers, in turn, believe in a world shaped by Snowman's narrative, taking his myths at face value. They ask him naive questions "Oh Snowman, why did Crake make the bones of the Children so fragile?" and he answers with half-truths and inventions. Here, Atwood plays with the postmodern critique of narrative authority: Snowman becomes a reluctant god, a maker of stories he does not believe, "Crake made the eyes. That's what Snowman tells them. The eyes of the Children of Crake are green" (*Oryx and Crake*, p. 105) and a custodian of memories that may be lies. He is at once the last man and the first myth-maker, inhabiting a space where epistemology and ontology have both collapsed.

The novel refuses to clarify what really happened between Crake and Oryx in their final moments. Did Crake intend to be killed by Jimmy? Was Oryx complicit in Crake's plan, or merely a bystander? These questions linger unresolved, not because of

narrative incompetence, but because Atwood is exploring the limits of narrative itself. In a postmodern context, the desire for closure is viewed with skepticism. The novel leaves us with uncertainty not as a flaw but as a feature a challenge to the traditional humanist desire for resolution and moral clarity.

Even Snowman's name is a metaphor of erasure. He used to be Jimmy, but now he is named after a children's game "Snowman wakes before dawn. He lies unmoving, listening to the tide coming in... He doesn't want to be awake. He was dreaming of a woman with torchlight behind her" (Oryx and Crake, p. 3). "Abominable Snowman," a figure that is both imagined and feared, present and absent. His name is a symbol of the loss of identity and language. The world he wanders in is filled with decaying remnants: empty towers, mutated animals, broken roads, and extinct words. Language itself is dying. Snowman mourns not just people, but words. "Valance," "incipient," "succulent," he recites to himself not for meaning, but for the pleasure of remembering that such words once had a place. This linguistic nostalgia highlights the novel's postmodern sense of mourning - not for what is lost, but for the very capacity to name and know the world with certainty.

In *Oryx and Crake*, memory is not a stable archive but a chaotic swirl. Identity is not consistent, but fractured and performed. Truth is not singular, but layered, provisional, and unstable. These are not incidental themes but foundational postmodern insights that Atwood weaves into the very form and fabric of her novel.

4. The Postmodern Apocalypse:

Apocalypse is traditionally understood as a divine reckoning, a final judgment followed by redemption or ruin. In *Oryx and Crake*, Margaret Atwood dismantles this eschatological framework and reimagines the end of the world as a man-made event, executed not by divine will but by the rational precision of genetic science. The apocalypse does not descend from the heavens; it emerges from laboratories, marketing campaigns, and the cold logic of biotechnological innovation. "Unlike religious apocalypse, this secular paradigm lacks a moral framework. Even the righteous suffer in such catastrophes, grappling with moral dilemmas and struggling to adapt to the new world order. Disparity becomes a constant condition in these narratives" (*A Journey at the End of Times: A Postmodern Reading of Cormac McCarthy's The Road*, p.116). This is not merely a critique of scientific overreach but a postmodern reconfiguration of apocalypse itself one that exposes the absurdity of grand narratives, subverts the myth of progress, and confronts us with the ethical void at the heart of modern civilization.

Crake, the orchestrator of the global pandemic and the creator of the Crakers, is the novel's most unsettling figure a calm, intelligent, calculating mind who believes he can solve the problem of human existence through biological intervention. He sees war, poverty, religious fundamentalism, and environmental degradation as inevitable consequences of human nature. His solution is not to reform society, but to replace humanity altogether. In this, Crake embodies the postmodern god not omniscient or benevolent, but ironic, detached, and rooted in technocratic rationality.

Throughout the novel, Atwood plays with religious language and biblical parallels, only to subvert them at every turn. The Crakers are designed to be a new Adamic race naked, herbivorous, peaceful, and free of symbolic thought. Crake positions himself as both Noah and God, wiping out the corrupted world and starting anew. Snowman becomes the reluctant prophet, left behind to shepherd the Crakers and pass down mythologies he knows to be false. But unlike traditional prophetic figures, Snowman has no revelation, no divine guidance. He is not chosen; he is abandoned.

This parody of the biblical apocalypse is central to Atwood's postmodern vision. There is no final judgment, no moral sorting, no salvation. The old world collapses not with fire or trumpets but with a flu virus hidden in a libido-enhancing pill. The act is banal in its delivery and horrific in its consequences. Crake tells Jimmy, "I couldn't let the human race go on the way it was. The only answer was to wipe the slate clean." Yet this godlike gesture is not accompanied by wisdom or compassion; it is executed with chilling efficiency, as if humanity were a failed experiment.

The irony, so characteristic of postmodern literature, is unbearable. Crake destroys the world to save it, creates the Crakers to restore innocence, and dies at the moment of his triumph. His plan is both flawless and fatally flawed. He engineers everything the virus, the antidote, the Crakers, and even Jimmy's final role. Yet he cannot control human emotion, nor can he account for the complexity of love, grief, or betrayal. His death by Jimmy's hand is both planned and inexplicable. Did he know Oryx would die? Did he intend for Jimmy to kill him? The novel offers no clear answers, only more ambiguity.

Snowman's role in the post-apocalyptic world is equally complex. He is not a hero, but a survivor riddled with doubt. He is entrusted with caring for the Crakers, yet he does not believe in their world. He invents myths about Oryx and Crake, turning them into deities for the Crakers, but he knows these stories are lies. When the Crakers ask, "Why did Crake make the bones of the Children so fragile?" Snowman invents answers, even as he mourns the loss of meaning in his own life. He becomes a postmodern prophet, one who transmits myths without belief, who speaks not to convey truth but to preserve coherence.

The ethical dimension of this apocalypse is perhaps its most disturbing element. In traditional apocalyptic narratives, there is often a moral reckoning the righteous are saved, the wicked punished. In *Oryx and Crake*, there is only systemic annihilation, indiscriminate and total. The line between victim and perpetrator blurs. Jimmy, who does not design the virus, still benefits from Crake's trust and remains silent about what he suspects. Oryx, who teaches the Crakers, may or may not know the scale of Crake's plan. And Crake himself, despite being the architect of the end, believes he is acting in the name of planetary survival.

The absence of a stable moral framework is a hallmark of postmodern thought. Baudrillard warns of a world where the real is replaced by simulations, where ethical action becomes impossible because reference points have dissolved. In *Oryx and Crake*, ethics are not abandoned, but they become murky and ineffectual. Snowman often reflects on what he should have done, could have done, but his reflections remain hypothetical. In the end, he is left alone not as a savior, but as a man caught between two worlds: one destroyed, the other artificial.

The final pages of the novel reinforce this ambiguity. Snowman, injured and delirious, encounters three human survivors possibly immune to the virus and is forced to decide whether to approach them, kill them, or retreat. The narrative ends not with resolution but with a question: "What if they're dangerous? What if they're friendly?" The apocalypse has not restored clarity; it has deepened uncertainty. In a postmodern world, the end is not an end but a recursive loop of doubt, survival, and storytelling. Atwood offers no redemption, no restoration of order. Instead, she presents the apocalypse as a human product, a culmination of

rational systems pushed beyond ethical restraint. It is not divine judgment we face, but the consequence of our own choices made in laboratories, markets, and ideologies that claim to know what is best. Oryx and Crake thus stands as a powerful postmodern meditation on what happens when the dream of progress becomes indistinguishable from the machinery of extinction.

5. Conclusion:

Margaret Atwood's *Oryx and Crake* is a novel about endings the end of species, of certainty, of meaning, and of human exceptionality. But it is also a beginning of something else: a new post human world, populated by genetically engineered beings, governed by stories that no longer aim for truth but for coherence and survival. Through its fragmented narrative, unreliable protagonist, ethical ambiguities, and refusal of resolution, the novel presents not just an apocalypse of the world, but an apocalypse of the modern self the self that once believed in progress, in mastery, in salvation through reason.

This paper has argued that Atwood's novel is best read as a postmodern apocalypse, in which the collapse of civilization is not a divine act or natural disaster, but the logical extension of late capitalist values and techno scientific rationalism. The destruction of the world is not irrational it is calculated, marketed, and distributed, hidden inside a pill, executed with the precision of a corporate merger. In this world, ethics are bureaucratized, identity is unstable, and language is a broken archive of lost meanings.

Snowman's journey through the ruins is not a quest for redemption but a prolonged negotiation with loss of people, of memory, of truth. His role as myth-maker to the Crakers reveals the final irony of the postmodern condition: when truth is no longer available, narrative becomes a survival mechanism. The stories he tells are not sacred, but necessary. They are not believed because they are true, but because they help preserve a fragile social order among beings who no longer share his history, emotions, or language.

Crake's utopian vision the erasure of human flaw through genetic redesign is exposed as a nightmare disguised as salvation. His perfect world, devoid of art, jealousy, metaphor, and grief, is not paradise but sterility. It is a future in which control has replaced meaning, and design has replaced desire. In this sense, *Oryx and Crake* poses one of the most pressing ethical questions of our time: Can technological progress be separated from the moral frameworks it so often bypasses or overrides?

Atwood does not answer this question. Her novel leaves us in a space of irresolution, mirroring the very condition it critiques. The reader is not given closure but is instead asked to dwell in uncertainty to witness the ruins, the myths, the silences. This refusal of resolution is not pessimism; it is a postmodern ethical gesture, one that acknowledges the limits of knowledge, the complexities of power, and the persistence of ambiguity in a world that resists simplification.

In the end, *Oryx and Crake* is not a warning in the traditional sense, nor a prophecy. It is a meditation grim, ironic, and deeply intelligent on the fragility of the human, and on the stories we tell to make sense of a world we may no longer recognize. It offers no heroes, no solutions, no final truths. Instead, it gives us a mirror, held up to a world already in motion toward its own unravelling, and asks us to consider while we still can what it means to be human when humanity itself becomes a question.

References:

1. Atwood, Margaret. *Oryx and Crake*. Anchor Books, 2004.
2. Baudrillard, Jean. *Simulacra and Simulation*. Translated by Sheila Faria Glaser, University of Michigan Press, 1994.
3. Butler, Christopher. *Postmodernism, A Very Short Introduction* Oxford University Press, 2002
4. Baldick, Chris. *Oxford Dictionary of Literary Terms* Oxford University Press, 2008
5. Barry, Lewis. *Postmodernism and Literature*. The Routledge Companion to Postmodernism.
6. Ed. Stuart Sim. London and New York: Routledge, 2001
7. Hutcheon, Linda. *A Poetics of Postmodernism: History, Theory, Fiction*. Routledge, 1988.
8. Jameson, Fredric. *Postmodernism, or, the Cultural Logic of Late Capitalism*. Duke University Press, 1991.
9. Khaled, M. Mohamed, and K. Mohamed Umar Farooq. "Cyberspace and Simulacra: Exploring Hyperreality, Identity, and the Postmodern Condition in William Gibson's *Neuromancer*." *The Yeats Journal of Korea*, vol. 77, 2025, pp. 81-98. The Yeats Society of Korea, <http://yeatsjournal.or.kr/journal/article.php?code=95329>.
10. Lyotard, Jean-François. *The Postmodern Condition: A Report on Knowledge*. Translated by Geoff Bennington and Brian Massumi, University of Minnesota Press, 1984.
11. Nazar, I. Mohammed, and A. Abdul Hakeem. "A Journey at the End of Times: A Postmodern Reading of Cormac McCarthy's *The Road*," *The Yeats Journal of Korea*, vol. 77, 2025, pp. 115-131. The Yeats Society of Korea, The Yeats Society of Korea, <http://yeatsjournal.or.kr/journal/article.php?code=95331>.
12. Skut, Petter. *The End of the World as We Know It, Theoretical Perspectives on Apocalyptic Science Fiction*, Abo Akademi University Press, Abo, Finland, 2019